

We would like it known our positions on some of the items being discussed as part of

**Proceeding MB Docket No. 04-233**

1. --whether radio and television stations should be required to maintain main studios within the corporate limits of their communities of license rather than be allowed to locate those main studios some distance from their cities of license; With the present rules allowing broadcasters to maintain studios within a certain range of their city of license, cost savings are able to be achieved, which ultimately leads to better content for the listeners of each station. Should broadcasters be forced to relocate their studios, this would not only drive up the costs of operation for many stations, but may ultimately lead to the demise of many small broadcasters, thereby defeating the entire purpose of the localism that is trying to be achieved.
2. Eliminate unattended operation of broadcast stations We feel the present status of regulation is sufficient in this area.
3. --whether the FCC should reinstitute renewal processing guidelines that are based on quantitative minimums for different types of programming. We do not know enough about this item to comment.
4. --whether radio and television stations should be required to maintain and consult with permanent advisory boards of officials and other leaders; As we presently participate in a local ascertainment on a quarterly basis, we do not feel there is adequate reason to have a mandated permanent advisory board. We find that a broad session like the one presently being operated allows many broadcasters to come together collectively, and have a better understanding of community issues. Additionally, having a permanent board maintained by each broadcaster would place undue hardships on small broadcasters like us, and be an impediment to gathering a wide range of information rather than a step in the right direction.  
  
--whether radio stations should be required to fill out, place in their public files, post on the Internet and submit to the FCC the same type of standardized disclosure form information about programming and "ascertainment" that will soon be required of television stations; As we presently place the non-mandatory ascertainment information in our public file, it is already available for viewing. Again, this requirement would place an unnecessary burden on small broadcasters, as many do not have dedicated IT departments with the time and expertise to maintain this information electronically.
5. No Comment
6. No Comment
7. No Comment